

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/518,980

Applicant(s)

DE AMBROSI ET AL.

Examiner

Ganapathy Krishnan

Art Unit

1623

## All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. David O'Brien.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2007

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*see continuation sheet*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the attorney of record that if the term comprising, recited at the beginning of claim 11 is replaced by the terms, consisting essentially of, it might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance and the same could be done via Examiner's amendment. The attorney agreed and authorized the Examiner's amendment.